



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

54
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/824,447 | 04/14/2004 | Steve Karaga | 051110-1010 | 6607 |
| 24504 | 7590 | 05/24/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948 | | | SHARP, JEFFREY ANDREW | |
| | | ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3677 |

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/824,447 | KARAGA ET AL. |
| | Examiner Jeffrey Sharp | Art Unit 3677 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey Sharp. (3) _____

(2) Minh Nguyen. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 May 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, and 17.


Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Discussed limitation "fully formed" as being a broad limitation; 2) Examiner invited commercial success or other persuasive evidence in addition to the disclosure; 3) Discussed point angle and pitch limitations in view of the prior art; 4) Applicant stated that NPL cited on previous PTO-892 was commonly owned or assigned.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



5/19/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required